

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	09/772,539	WEBB ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	Ahmed M Farah	3739	

**All Participants:**

**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(1) Ahmed M Farah.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(2) Jila Bakker (Reg. No. 53,962).

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

**Date of Interview:** 27 October 2004

**Time:** 1:45 PM

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☒ Applicant's representative)

**Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:** ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

2,5, 6, 9, 10, 22, 24, 27, and 28.

Prior art documents discussed:

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*Minor informalities in claims 2, 6, 9, 10, 22, 24, 27, and 28 were discussed and corrected. The breadth and clarity of claim 5 was discussed and the claim was further amended to better recite the invention. See the attached Examiner's Amendment.*

**Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

\_\_\_\_\_  
(Examiner/SPE Signature)

\_\_\_\_\_  
(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)